UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,992	06/27/2006	Helena Santos	44603-10010	9880
21788 RYNDAK & SI	7590 03/09/200 URI LLP	EXAMINER		
200 W. MADIS	SON STREET	HANLEY, SUSAN MARIE		
	SUITE 2100 CHICAGO, IL 60606			PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/553,992	SANTOS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SUSAN HANLEY	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	entember 2008					
	action is non-final.					
·=	/ 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under L.	x parte quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	: .					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						
1 apet 110(3)/111/all Date						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Applicant is required to elect a specie of claim 1 by selecting a glycosyl specie for each of the first and second glycosyl residues and to select a glyceryl specie from among glycerol, glyceramide, lactyl and propylene glycol for the glyceryl component of the formula. Applicant is required to make the specie elections with the caution that the elected specie must be a specific compound disclosed per se or that it may be subject to a New Matter rejection.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1651

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 1-22 read on the generic formula of claim 1.

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: A "special technical feature" is defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as "those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art." In the instant case, as discussed below, a specie of the claimed inventions fail to make any contribution over the prior art. Because a specie fails to make any contribution over the prior art, the claims as filed fail to contain a single common special technical feature supporting a showing unity of invention.

Specifically, the article by Forrester et al. (Biochem. Biophys. Acta (1966), 25(1): 23-27) anticipates a specie of claim 1, O-alpha-D-glucosyl-(1-2)O-alpha-D-galactopyranosyl-(1-2) glycerol, See, e.g., page 25, glycoside 2a, of Forrester et al. disclosing the named specie. The STN Registry print out of this compound is included with this action. This specie anticipates claim 1 because the is drawn to the utilization of glycosyl-(1-2)-glycosyl-(1-2)-glyceryls. The compound named after the generic formula, (mannosyl-(1-2)glycosyl, is a specie of the generic formula. Because the claim fails to make a contribution over the prior art, it is clear that all claims as filed fail to provide special technical feature common to all claimed inventions. Because the claims as filed lack a common special technical feature, the claims lack unity.

Furthermore, the claims would lack unity even without the disclosure by Forrester et al. since each combination of glycosyl and glyceryl residues forms a specific compound having distinct chemical and physical properties compared to other species that fall within the generic formula. Hence, the species lack a common special technical feature.

Lack of a common special technical feature, and therefore lack of unity, is further demonstrated by the fact that the various processes claimed, including binding assays, diagnostic methods and therapeutic treatment methods, all have vastly different process steps, and therefore also lack unity.

It is noted that "use" claims are not statutory under U.S. patent law. Applicant is advised to amend the claims accordingly or such a rejection will be applied in the first action on the merits. At the present time, the claims are considered to be directed to composition claims.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1651

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUSAN HANLEY whose telephone number is (571)272-2508. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,992 Page 5

Art Unit: 1651

/Susan Hanley/ Examiner, Art Unit 1651

/Irene Marx/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651